## CONFORMATIONAL ANALYSIS OF 4-METHYLRAUCUBAININIUM AND 4-METHYLSTRICTAMINIUM CHLORIDES

DUŠAN UHRÍN and BOHUMIL PROKSA\*

Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, 84238 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

ABSTRACT.—The conformation of 4-methylraucubaininium chloride and 4-methylstrictaminium chloride, new alkaloids from leaves of *Vinca minor*, have been elucidated by <sup>1</sup>H-nmr methods.

So far more than fifty alkaloids have been isolated from leaves of the lesser periwinkle (Vinca minor L.), but only a few of them are quaternary salts. Recently, we have isolated three new quaternary alkaloids from this plant and identified them as 4-methylakuammicinium chloride, 4-methylraucubaininium chloride [1], and 4-methylstrictaminium chloride [2] (1); the latter two compounds belong to the picraline group. We report herein the results of conformational analysis of these pentacyclic molecules by <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectroscopy. The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectral data of 1 and 2 were published in our previous paper (1), and therefore, all proton signals were assigned according to the <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H heterocorrelated experiment. Assignment of protons (R/S)at prochiral carbons was backed by the coupling constant analysis and nOe difference spectroscopy. The absolute configuration at C-7 (i.e., 7S) of **1** was based

on a strong positive dichroic band at 248 nm in the cd spectrum, similar to that of raucubainine, raucubaine or (+)-vindoline (2), all having the same spatial arrangement at C-7 [erroneously, the configuration of raucubaine and raucubainine in Sierra *et al.* (2) was printed as 7R]. The configuration at the other carbons of ring C, namely C-2, C-3, C-15, and C-16, and the conformation of this ring were deduced from the nOe experiment. After irradiation of the proton H-2 an enhanced intensity of H-3 (proton at the adjacent carbon), H-14S, and H-16 (1,3-diaxial arrangement) signals was observed, thus indicating the chair conformation of ring C with absolute configuration 2S, 3S,7S,15R,16R. An opposite configuration at C-2 (i.e., 2R) is excluded, as irradiation of H-2 would bring about an nOe at H-3 and H-5R. Rings D (N-4, C-3, C-2, C-7, C-6, C-5) and E (N-4, C-3, C-14, C-15, C-20, C-21) in 1 appear





in boat conformation with a joint C-3-N-4 bond. This arrangement is characterized by a four-bond W-connectivity between H-21S and H-5R, possibly only providing mutual cis arrangement of rings D and E. The last two chiral centers in 1, at C-19 and C-20, participate in an oxirane ring. After irradiation of C-18 methyl protons, an nOe effect was observed at H-19 and H-15 only; as a consequence, the absolute configuration at C-19 and C-20 has to be 19S,20R. Any other possible configurations (i.e., 19R,20R; 19R,20S; or 19S,20S) would show after irradiation of H-18 an enhanced intensity at H-21R and H-6R or H-5S, H-6R, and H-21S, respectively. It follows that the absolute configuration of 4-methylraucubaininium chloride [1] is 2S, 3S, 7S, 15R, 16R, 19S, 20R.

<sup>1</sup>H-nmr The spectrum of 4methylstrictaminium chloride [2] (Tables 1,2) differs from that of strictamine by clearly resolved signals, especially of protons bound to carbons adjacent to N-4, which appeared as undistinguishable multiplets in the spectrum of strictamine (3,4). The presence of an sp<sup>2</sup> carbon (C-2) and replacement of the epoxide grouping by an ethylidene moiety in 2 in comparison with 1 caused differences in chemical shifts of several protons. In addition to the expected changes of proton signals bound to carbons in  $\alpha$  positions to C-2, C-19, and C-20, two effects having a through-thespace character were observed: shielding of H-5*R* ( $\delta$  4.43 ppm in 1 vs. 3.07 in 2) and deshielding of H-6*R* ( $\delta$  2.98 ppm in 1 vs. 3.86 in 2). The first is due to a shielding effect of the indolenine N=C bond; the other depends on a shielding effect of the epoxide ring in 1 which is

 
 TABLE 1.
 <sup>1</sup>H-nmr Chemical Shifts of Quaternary Alkaloids from Vinca minor.

Proton	Compound			
	1	2		
H-2	3.10s			
H-5	4.00 d 4 43 dddd	3.00 dd 3.07 dddd		
H-5S	3.41 dd	3.65 dd		
H-6R	2.98 ddd	3.86 ddd		
Н-65	2.02 dd	2.16 dd		
Н-9	7.06 ddd	7.49 d		
H-10	6.78 ddd	7.30 dd		
H-11	7.17 ddd	7. <b>45</b> dd		
H-12	6.76 d	7.70 d		
H-14R	2.88 ddd	3.12 ddd		
H-145	2.24 d	2.16 dd		
H-15	2.85 dd	3.7 <b>5</b> dd		
H-16	3.30 d	2.38 d		
H-18	1.31 d	1.67 dd		
H-19	3.34 q	5.92 q		
H-21R	3.26 d	4.28 d		
H-215	3.83 dd	4.51 ddd		
N-1Me	2.79 s	—		
N-4Me	3.35 s	3.40 s		
ОМе	3.80 s	3.78 s		

TABLE 2. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H Coupling Constants (Hz).

Protons					Compound			
							1	2
H-2,3							a	_
H-3,14R .							5.0	4.9
H-3,145							a	1.6
H-5R,5S .							12.0	13.2
H-5R,6R .							14.6	14.6
H-5R,6S .							5.3	5.6
H-5R,21S							2.5	2.5
H-5S,6R .							6.1	5.8
H-55,65 .							а	а
H-6R,6S .						.	17.1	16.2
H-9,10 <sup>b</sup> .							7.7	7.8
H-9,11 <sup>b</sup> .							1.5	а
H-9,12 <sup>b</sup> .							0.4	a
H-10,11 <sup>b</sup> .							7.6	7.4
H-10,12 <sup>b</sup> .							1.0	1.2
H-11,12 <sup>b</sup> .							7.7	7.3
H-14R,14S							15.0	15.1
H-145,15							a	2.7
H-14R,15							2.7	2.7
H-15,16 .			,				3.5	3.6
H-18,19 .							5.7	7.2
H-18,215							_	2.8
H-21 <i>R</i> ,21 <i>S</i>	•			•	•	•	14.3	15.6

<sup>a</sup>Small, unresolved coupling.

<sup>b</sup>In first order approximation.

stronger than the shielding effect of the ethylidene double bond in 2. A similar strong effect of the epoxide grouping was encountered also in spectra of the 15,16-epoxybeyerols (5). A rather high shift value of H-6R in both 1 and 2 is associated with deshielding effect of the C-16 methoxycarbonyl group at an R absolute configuration at this atom. In the opposite configuration (i.e., 16S) an additional shift of methoxyl group protons into a higher magnetic field should be observed (6) due to a shielding effect of the benzene ring. The difference in chemical shift of H-16 in 1 (3.30 ppm) and 2 (2.38 ppm) can be explained by deformation of ring C in 2 caused by the indolenine double bond. Similar values of particular coupling constants (Table

2) together with the chemical shift analysis discussed above lead to the conclusion that the conformation of 2 is quite similar to that of 1.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

<sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra were recorded in CD<sub>3</sub>OD with TMS as internal standard on a Bruker AM-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz and 75 MHz, respectively. The pulse sequence with proton decoupling in  $F_1$  (7) was used for <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H heterocorrelated experiment. The delays within the pulse sequence including BIRD pulse were optimized to <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H) of 150 Hz. Other parameters were as follows: spectral width 9750 Hz (<sup>13</sup>C axis), 750 Hz (<sup>14</sup>H axis); number of data points 4K in  $F_2$ , 256 in  $F_1$ ; size of final matrix after zero-filling to 512 in  $F_1$  1M; number of transients 32; relaxation delay 3 sec. The total acquisition time was 8 h for the solution containing 30 mg of **1** or **2** in 2 ml of CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

Parameters of the steady-state difference nOe experiment (8) were as follows: relaxation, preirradiation, and acquisition times 5 sec; preirradiation frequency changed every one scan; decoupler power 2.5 Hz; frequency between individual transients in doublet of C-18 methyl group in **1** switched in 150 msec intervals; sample concentration 10 mg of **1** or **2** in 0.5 ml of CD<sub>3</sub>OD; temperature 298°.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. B. Proksa, D. Uhrín, E. Grossmann, and Z. Votický, *Planta Med.* (in press).
- P. Sierra, L. Novotný, Z. Samek, M. Buděšínský, L. Dolejš, and K. Bláha, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 47, 2912 (1982).
- 3. Atta-ur-Rahman and Habib-ur-Rehman, *Planta Med.*, 230 (1986).
- F. Guéritte, N. Langlois, and V. Pétiard, J. Nat. Prod., 46, 144 (1983).
- P.R. Jefferies, R.S. Rosich, and D.E. White, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1853 (1963).
- G. Massiot, C. Lavaud, J. Vercauteren, L. Le Men-Olivier, J. Lévy, J. Guilhem, and C. Pascard, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 66, 2414 (1983).
- 7. A. Bax, J. Magn. Reson., 53, 517 (1983).
- J.K.M. Sanders and J.D. Mersh, in: "Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy." Ed. by J.W. Emsley, J. Feeney, and L.H. Sutcliffe, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982, Vol. 15, p. 353.

Received 6 October 1988